



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,167	06/20/2001	Thomas C. Poff	IREA0002C	6707	
28875 75	590 12/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120		LE, UYEN T			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2163		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/886,167	POFF ET AL.	
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Uyen T. Le	2163	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension option of the extension office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered a w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 20-37.			
Claim(s) objected to: 3,5,6,9-14 and 17-19.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,7,8,15 and 16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \boxtimes The drawing correction filed on <u>20 June 2001</u> is a)	approved or b) □ disapprover	ed by the Examine	r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	·
10.			
·			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments were not presented in a timely fashion for the examiner to consider. Note that claim 1 of the present application is an exact duplicate of claim 1 in the parent application which had been rejected over the Levy reference and canceled by the applicant in the parent application.

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER